

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/194,562	04/09/1999	MATS LEIJON	705/71502-2/	3347
25269	7590 03/29/2004		EXAMINER	
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC			MULLINS, BURTON S	
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST 1300 I STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TON, DC 20005		2834	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{A}_{s}$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/194,562	LEIJON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Burton S. Mullins	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	V 10 057 70 EVDIDE 61	MONTHON FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	lune 2002.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-20</u> is/are pending in the a	application.	Ç	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-17</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		en e	•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	nts have been received in	Application No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	it received.	
Attachment(s)	A [ ]	( Summon (DTO 442)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		y Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Suspension

1. Pursuant to the Board of Appeal's final decision regarding U.S. Application No. 08/973,019, suspension has been lifted. As set forth in the decision on petition requesting suspension, the instant application was granted a suspension pending the decision on appeal of the '019 application. On November 27, 2002, the Board affirmed the rejection of the '019 application and on August 27, 2003, the Board denied applicant's request for reconsideration, thus terminating prosecution of the '019 application. An action on the merits follows.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 18 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 18, line 5, change "being concentric" to ---is concentric---. On line 6, insert an ---a--- before "solid insulating layer".

In claim 20, line 6, change "outwardly of the ends" to ---outwardly from the ends---.

On line 7, insert an ---an--- before "arc shaped end coil". Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shildneck in view of "Electric Motors & Generators Illustrated" (pp.112-113) and Elton (US 4,853,565). Shildneck teaches a high voltage rotating electric machine having a magnetic

Art Unit: 2834

circuit comprising: a stator having a magnetic core 14 (Fig.3), said stator being formed with end portions (not numbered) and slots 15/16 extending between the end portions (Fig.3), and a winding 18 in the form of a cable being continuously threaded in the stator slots in a plurality of planar layers (Fig.1) and wherein the winding has end winding portions extending outwardly of the ends of the stator between selected slots (Fig.3), said end winding portions in each of said plurality of layers having arc shaped end coil (Fig.3).

Shildneck does not teach: 1) a concentric winding (claim 18) or concentric arc-shaped end coils (claim 20); and 2) a cable comprising a conductor including a plurality of conductive strands, an inner semiconducting layer surrounding the inner layer, a solid insulating layer surrounding the inner layer, and an outer semiconducting layer surrounding the insulating layer.

Regarding (1), concentric coils for armature windings are well known. The publication "Electric Motors & Generators Illustrated" (Philosophical Library, New York, 1959, pp.112-113) teaches that concentric armature windings "have the advantage that the coils of different phases are kept apart and do not touch each other, with the result that breakdown of insulation between phases rarely occurs." Further, "[i]t is unnecessary to insulate the end connectors to withstand the full pressure between phases."

Regarding (2), Elton teaches a high-voltage, electrical cable comprising current-carrying conductors 102 (Fig.7); an inner, semi-conducting "grading" layer 104 made of pyrolized glass fibers (c.7, lines 19-20) surrounding and being in electrical contact with the current-carrying conductor 102; a solid insulation layer 106 surrounding and contacting the inner layer; and an outer layer 110 having semi-conducting properties surrounding and

Art Unit: 2834

contacting the solid insulating layer 106, as well as being in contact with ground, to thus bleed off static charge and thus prohibiting development of corona discharge (c.7, lines 23-28; lines 64-68). In another form, a predetermined reference potential may be coupled to the semi-conducting layer (c.8, lines 13-21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to modify Shildneck's high voltage machine winding and provide concentric end coils per "Electric Motors & Generators Illustrated" since this would have been desired to keep coils of different phases apart such that breakdown of the insulation therebetween would not occur; and further to provide a high voltage, electrical cable per Elton with grounded inner and outer semi-conductors separated by an insulator since such a cable would have been desirable to prohibit development of corona discharge.

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shildneck in view of "Electric Motors & Generators Illustrated" (pp.112-113) and Elton et al. as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Breitenbach et al. (USP 4,785,138).

Shildneck in view of "Electric Motors & Generators Illustrated" and Elton et al.

disclose the claimed invention except for the feature of an outer metal screening and a sheath in the winding cable.

Breitenbach et al. teach that is known to use an outer metal screening and sheath in an electrical cable to provide shielding as well as increase cable reliability (c.2, lines 32-44).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the metal screening and sheath as taught by Breitenbach et al. to the device as disclosed by Shildneck in view of "Electric Motors & Generators Illustrated"

Art Unit: 2834

and Elton et al. since such a modification would have provided shielding as well as increase cable reliability.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-8 and 10-17 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-20 have been considered but are moot 7. in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Further, insofar as they pertain to the current rejections, applicant's arguments filed June 3, 2002 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Elton's cable is rigid and not flexible and would not be suitable for use in Shildneck's machine. The examiner notes that Elton's windings 50 "initially extend axially and then bend circumferentially so as to provide a connection between one bar and a second circumferentially disposed bar in the stator core" (c.5, line 66-c.6, line 1). The manner of bending is shown in Fig.5. Thus, adequate "flexibility" is provided by such a bend. Also, Elton's teaching at c.8, lines 3-9 that "the semi-conducting layer is a glass fiber which can be chopped, mixed with resin and molded, or blown on any complex shaped substrate [so that the layer can be placed in intimate contact with substantially all of the exterior surface of the insulator or housing..." suggests that the semi-conducting layer can be "molded" or "blown" onto a cable without causing cable rigidity. Elton also refers to US 4,510,077 (Elton '077), incorporated by reference therein, for a detailed description of the characteristics of the cable material. Elton '077 teaches that a lubricant may be used in the material "to impart

Art Unit: 2834

lubrication to and between the individual glass fibers, and as such permits the threads and cloths manufactured from these fibers to be subjected to mechanical stresses as incurred by bending, folding and twisting without breakage of the fibers" (c.4, lines 8-16). Thus, Elton '077 teaches how to make the semi-conductive material cable flexible.

With regard to applicant's assertion that one of ordinary skill would not have a reasonable expectation of success if the machine in Shildneck were modified with high voltage cables such as Elton because the resultant combination would cause the semiconducting layer to crack, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). In this case, Elton's cable winding minimizes the possibilities of corona discharge. Further, given Elton's explicit desire to prevent corona discharge in stator core windings, it is unreasonable to assume that one of ordinary skill would allow the cable to "crack" when wound around a core.

Applicant argues that Shildneck is a high current/low voltage machine and would not work in a high voltage environment. However, the examiner notes that the specification defines "high voltage" as being 10kV or greater (p.1, lines 7-8). Shildneck was described in the declaration of Mr. Robert Fenton to operate at voltages from 10kV to 15 kV (p.19, paragraph 43) and hence can be defined by applicant's own terms as a "high voltage" machine. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/194,562

Art Unit: 2834

specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26

USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

# Information Disclosure Statement

8. The examiner requests a courtesy copy of the initialed IDS (PTO-1449), Paper No.9, mailed out with the office action of September 14, 2000. In the present electronic file, a copy of this paper cannot be located.

Art Unit: 2834

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is 571-272-2029. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 571-272-2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Burton S. Mullins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

19 March 2004 bsm